

Waterloo Engineering Society 'B'

Winter Meeting #3

Date: Wednesday March 1st, 2017

Location: RCH 211

Chair: Kieran Broekhoven

Secretary: Sarah Martin

Attendance:

1 st Year Classes							
BME 2021	P	CHEM 2021	P	CIVE 2021	P	ECE 2021	A
MECH 2021	P	MGMT 2021	P	TRON 2021	P	NANO 2021	P
SOFT 2021	P						
2 nd Year Classes							
CHEM 2020	P	ECE 2020 - 1	P	ECE 2020 - 2	A	ENV 2020	P
GEO 2020	P	MECH 2020	P	TRON 2020	P	SYDE 2020	P
3 rd Year Classes							
BME 2019	A	CHEM 2019	P	CIVE 2019	A	ECE 2019	A
MECH 2019	P	MGMT 2019	P	TRON 2019	A	SOFT 2019	P
CHEM 2018	A	ECE 2018 - 1	A	ECE 2018 - 2	A	ENV 2018	A
GEO 2018	A	MECH 2018	P	TRON 2018	A	SYDE 2018	A
4 th Year Classes							
CHEM 2017 - 4	P	CHEM 2017 - 8	P	CIVE 2017	P	ECE 2017 - 4 - 1	P
ECE2017 - 4 - 2	P	ECE 2017 - 8	P	ENV 2017	P	GEO 2017	P
MECH 2017 - 4	P	MECH 2017 - 8	P	MGMT 2017	P	NANO 2017	P
TRON 2017	A	SOFT 2017	P	SYDE 2017	A		
Other							
Executive	P	Off-Term Prez	P	Reduced CL	A		

Total Votes Available: 51

Total Votes Present: 35

1.0 Welcome & Call to Order:

Time: 5:37pm

Quorum established at 35/51 voting members present.

2.0 Approval of Minutes:

Motion:	Approval of Winter 2017 Meeting #2 Minutes
Mover:	SYDE 2020
Second:	NANO 2021
Result:	Motion Passes

3.0 Approval of Engenda:

Motion:	Approval of Winter 2017 Meeting #3 Engenda	
Mover:	CHEM 2017 – 4	
Second:	CHEM 2021	
	Motion:	Add Motion 6.4 Mandate Exec to add Speaker to the Commissioner Applications
	Mover:	ECE 2017 – 4 - 1
	Second:	ECE 2017 – 4 – 2
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Q: Why?• A: Historical precedent and there are people who want to apply
	Result:	Motion Passes
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Friendly amendment to renumber the GradComm affiliate update to 8.7 and add item 8.6 affiliate update for engineering ambassadors• Friendly amendment to renumber the new business items, and add item 6.1 discussion about events
Result:	Motion Passes	

4.0 Executive Updates

4.1 President

Speaking: Rachel Malevich (president.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Abdullah and I attended FEDS council, it was interesting. They are working on improving lots of things like the way they do their agenda. They are hosting their termly general meeting on the evening of potluck. They will be bringing forwards a big motion to have society presidents sit on FEDs council. Tell a friend to go for you and proxy your vote!
- I've updated the governing documents and document of stances
- Commissioner applications opened today
- MATES pilot going well. Met with ASoc over reading week to discuss the transition and are working on the transition document. Met with engineering counselling and it's looking good for us getting space in engineering for the fall term
- Professional development resources waiting for upload to the website
- The communications guidelines are done just waiting to be approved and uploaded
- On the election voting process, recently we had the FEDS election. I want to know your thoughts on this as we could be using this system. Straw poll conducted of council members who voted in the election. Results mostly positive, many indifferent.
- Q: If we were using this software, would we control the voting list or would they? Another thing is that we are removing votes from engineering students which they may or may not be able to do
- A: We are looking into options right now. We are also considering Qualtrics. No decision made yet.
- I didn't like that you couldn't see the platforms of the people you were voting for when you signed in to vote
- If you have further comments about the voting system, talk to me!
- With regards to getting face time with the departments, I've been meeting with a lot of people. Next I am looking at a meeting with a senior development officer
- Q: With regards to having presidents sit on FEDS council, are you replacing a seat or how is this vote being added?
- A: It hasn't been released yet, but I'll let you know. Next council meeting is before the FEDS GM, so I can update you then
- Q: You said that you talked to engineering counselling. What were you talking about?
- A: Getting space for mates in engineering so that they are not so far away from the students they are helping. This is a big part of our growth plan
- Q: Concerned that they don't have room, they don't have enough space for counsellors as is.
- A: That's not really true. We are looking at sharing the intern's office as they only work a handful of days each week. The issues about getting more counsellors is a budget issue not space limitations.

4.2 Vice President Student Life

Speaking: Chelsea VanderMeer (vpstudentlife.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Lots of events happening like Rube Goldberg, Valentines, board games, grilled cheese, and charities
- There are also more events coming up. Details to come later this meeting
- Still working on keeping the calendar updated.
- Working on different transition docs each day
- Filmed the Rube Goldberg video, other NEM events coming up soon
- Mural design competition is happening now, check out the Facebook page. Email designs to me or bring a copy in to the Orifice. Submissions will be due in May sometime. Voting will be this summer at JAGM.
- Q: Can we get a mural of Mary's face?
- A: Submit it!

4.3 Vice President Communications

Speaking: Melissa Buckley (vpcomm.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- We sent out the survey and about 130 people completed it. We still want more responses. Lots of stuff to win. Make sure you're sharing the survey with your class
- Communication guidelines done and will be uploaded soon
- Next Wednesday is conference info night. We will be talking about AGM. Applications will be available soon
- Mady has posted a survey for the director of the month. Nominate amazing directors!
- Did a goals presentation at the first meeting. Before feedback at next meeting would you like that again? Straw poll of council shows yes

4.4 Vice President Finance & Operations

Speaking: Katie Arnold (vpfinance.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- We're bringing to Novelties pants appropriate for both sleeping and grocery shopping
- Patch design competition results coming soon
- New student deal at Grace and Healthy Dumplings for a 5% discount
- This weekend is sponsorship committee. 6 of you remember to come!
- Coveralls on sale Thursday and Friday. Tell your classes
- Novelties and RigidWare are open daily at lunch
- Trophy case display is a work in progress. Just confirmed funding for that.
- Novelties stock is amazing
- Student deals are going great. There will be a giant student deals poster in the new display case
- Just met with Michael about ECIF and Sponsorship applications

4.5 Vice President Academic

Speaking: Andrew McBurney (vpacademic.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Check the google doc linked on the Engenda for more info
- Met with the co-op student's council to talk about co-op 2.0, WaterlooWorks, and the co-op fee increase
- Co-op 2.0 is a group of four things CECA is trying to do to improve 1st term work success and reach 100% employment. There is an initiative for 1 month spent working in 3 different places. Flex terms are co-op terms where you can submit own job request, which may not be relevant to your degree but which you are passionate about. There will be 3 terms where you can request a flex term and 3 which are strictly relevant.
- Q: Are they just trying to increase their employment stats? There are expectations on how an upper year student will perform on the job, and flex terms would undermine that. How can we maintain the integrity of the co-op program with this initiative?
- A: These were questions I was wondering too. I'm working on getting answers.
- Q: Essentially this is all with the goal of getting 100% employment. This seems like a sketchy way to do it. Are they just padding their stats or actually helping students?
- A: They were very hand-wavy and claimed that this was about giving students flexibility, not about the employment numbers
- Q: How can we give feedback to CECA?
- A: Talk to me after
- Q: The way other faculties do statistics, if you fail to get a coop and decide to take courses for the term instead, you get taken out of statistics. It seems that CECA would do the same thing with this
- A: Straw poll of council indicated that Andrew should raise this concern
- Q: Can you also inquire about whether the fee increase is to cover \$5 million WaterlooWorks?
- A: I can ask about it
- Q: Is a thing CECA can just do? Or do they need approval from people?
- A: They got approval internally already and are moving forwards to Senate
- One of the biggest selling features of Waterloo is that you graduate with two years of relevant work experience. This discredits that.
- Q: Would allowing flex terms decrease pressure on each department to open up research positions towards the end of term?
- A: I can't say now, but it is very possible
- Q: Were you going to speak more on the three placement topic? My concern is that in my first co-op I was still just following my supervisor around a month in. This doesn't seem like a good way to do meaningful work.
- Q: I've never heard of anywhere that would hire someone for a month, not even in high school. I don't see the point, what will you learn over just a month?
- A: I think the goal is to give people more exposure more quickly. Straw poll of council indicates that this is a not favorable idea
- Thumbs up for Andrew, thumbs down for CECA!
- Q: For the month long placements, do they actually have people lined up to hire from this?

- A: I haven't asked, but I can look into it
- I think this already exists at a small scale for first year students. May not be paid though
- Q: How exactly would you apply to this? Applying is hard enough as is. Would you apply to preset groupings of jobs or what?
- To keep this discussion moving, Andrew will either make a survey or have a feedback session before bringing in someone from CECA
- Q: Mentioned this was going to be brought to Senate. Can we convince them this is a bad idea? CECA won't listen to us but we could provide an appeal / petition / report to Senate which gives our opinion
- A: I'll look into it
- Q: Who is our senator?
- A: Pallavi to April, Grant after that
- Maybe we could draft a formal letter in the feedback session
- We could also invite Pallavi and Grant to the feedback session
- On the topic of WaterlooWorks we have asked for better transparency. For example, CECA told students to apply to 50 jobs, set the application limit to 75, then internally raised the application limit without communicating with students. They have also been busy working out failures such as data corruption and passwords being reset. They want to make a list of concerns and prioritize the top 20 items. Apparently reverting to the Jobmine algorithm would be costly, but I'm not sure why. I told CECA we were planning a survey, I will speak to that later
- Q: You said 20 items they listed, do we have access to that?
- A: They're working on putting it together
- Q: Why does WaterlooWorks not tell me I was not selected?
- A: You can check, but it's not easy
- Q: Last update they said everything was great, now they want to address the top 20 items, are we on the same page?
- A: There hasn't been good communication external or internal within CECA. The working group said things were going well, co-op students council said not so much
- In terms of identifying the top 20 concerns, they really need to improve their vetting. There is a graduate job for a dishwasher, janitors, etc. which are labelled as engineering jobs.
- They said that some things are more costly than others, but we shouldn't be paying for any of this
- Moving to WaterlooWorks was supposed to address the costly maintenance and downtime. One of the reasons for the fee increase is to pay for maintenance costs. I don't get it
- Q: How do I contribute to the list?
- A: Complete the feedback survey. Next meeting we are having 2 people from WaterlooWorks come in to have a discussion on system feedback and the survey of engineering students.
- Q: In terms of fee increase is there a way to fight it? We are not bottomless purses. It is illogical to pay \$5 million for a system improvement then even more for its maintenance
- A: We are invoking a deep dive into how the fee is being spent and used. We can use the info to tell them that we don't think we are warranted to pay more.

- Q: The person coming in next week, are they knowledgeable about the system? And from the CECA side or WaterlooWorks?
- A: Yes, she's quite knowledgeable about the system from the CECA side
- From what I recall, the disconnect between the two is quite large, if you could request an engineer / PM from the company that would be great. I think that would be more productive
- Q: I'm keen to be talking to somebody but my concern is about just roasting them like we did with the person from PD and them getting defensive. How will we remain under control?
- We could create an agenda or list of questions and send it out to them ahead of time
- We also could have a separate meeting ahead of time so we've worked through our feelings and are ready to be constructive with the representatives
- Clarisse is willing to reign in the comments and turn them into feedback.
- We could make it more like town hall with designated people to talk, as it's not just the points that matter but the manner in which they were delivered. In the PD meeting we got really angry even when expressing constructive feedback.
- I know that everyone is in favour of in person venting, but it is Andrew's job to be your representative and communicate in a manner which maintains our relationships.
- Perhaps angry people can go protest outside TC
- FEDS is against the fee increase and their newly elected exec are fighting it by invoking a deep dive into how they spend their funding, and how well it is being utilized. Requested that the fee increase be included in the break down so we can understand how the additional money would be spent
- Senate just approved Saturday midterms for math and science students only. Engineering courses should not have Saturday midterms and can file a grievance if a prof schedules one
- Q: What prompted this?
- A: The Associate Dean of math noticed that a lot of students skip classes for midterms during the week. He wanted midterms to conflict less, it's basically a scheduling thing
- The university is implementing a buffer period for the start of winter classes because they typically start on the first day the university is open. This will be effective 2018
- Co-op education council met to discuss WaterlooWorks. MathSoc ran a survey with 100 responses. The link to these is in my update with the meeting notes. Students generally not in favour of the change. Some things they liked were the no rank option and no nightly downtime. Things they didn't like included the 500 server errors which displayed the full stack trace, the lack of horizontal scrolling, and not knowing if you were not selected. I will be working with them to go over the results from their survey and to improve our survey. The reason ours is after theirs is so we can include feedback on the main match
- I brought up the idea of getting employer feedback on WatPD. The group thought it was a good idea but will likely postpone it to the fall term to get student feedback first.
- I told you I'd know more about mental health funding but I haven't got an update from Peter yet. I will be following up with him soon to bring you an update next meeting
- Q: In the FEDs election one of the teams indicated that fall reading break will become a full week. Have you heard anything?
- A: Nothing on that. They can't change within three years because that was the length of the trial chosen

5.0 Guest Speakers

5.1 Course Critiques

Speaking: Gordon Stublely (stublely@uwaterloo.ca)

- Some of you may have heard this already but the course critiques process is currently in a transition process. This started three years ago with a university level task force to come up with a uniform set of questions and delivery system for all faculties across campus
- The goal is to have an online delivery system. As you know, engineering switched from paper to online last winter for the vast majority of courses. At this point, this is largely true across the university, though some arts courses may not have transitioned yet
- Pleased to report that historical response rate (paper) was 64%, last winter was 59%, spring 59%, fall 66%. From this, it looks like students are engaging at approximately the same level as before the transition. This was for the same survey questions as before, the only change being the online delivery
- In engineering, almost all of the course critiques are online, there is a very small number still completing critiques on paper. The courses remaining on paper are those taught by instructors who began teaching during the paper system, and who have not yet been considered for tenure and promotion. This was done to allow them to feel safer and more confident in the system by having all of their records in one consistent place. At this point, there are less than 10 courses still completing paper critiques
- Common questions have been composed by the task force to be asked to students all across campus. The wording will be different to the current questions, but the content is similar. The aim is to ask about things that are common of all learning environments and teaching programs. The new system will also allow for some specific questions added by any of the faculty, program, or instructor. This plan was released to the university last fall with a request for feedback. They received feedback from faculty, but little from students or other staff. They were pleasantly surprised by the amount of faculty who felt the new system was an adequate replacement. However, there are also a number of faculty across the university who provided negative feedback. The feedback and report will be going to the Provost who will determine what happens next
- I was able to predict the progress of the transition to online critiques ahead of time, but I don't know when or if we will switch to a common set of questions.
- If we do change the questions being used, before we start to use the new questions, there needs to be testing done to ensure that when a question is asked the students are responding to the question as it was intended. I am hoping that in the next term or two there will be a request to student to participate in focus groups to facilitate this process. When this happens I want lots of engineering participation
- There is still incredible variation in course critiques across campus. We are very unique in our system, in particular the unique partnership we have with our students to screen the course critiques. For this process I work with the VP Academic and the course critique directors to facilitate students screening the responses for inappropriate comments. This tradition goes back to before the faculty was involved in course critiques and we have cherished it over time. Other faculties are envious of this process, but they can't imagine implementing it

themselves because they don't feel that they can trust their students. Student screening is a part of our course critiques process, and it will continue to be

- Q: What are the main issues with implementing common questions?
- A: That's a good question to which I don't have good answers because I'm biased. Their biggest concern is that the results coming from the students are biased. To an extent this is true because we are all biased. However, when I hear this argument, I refute it by pointing to the data from engineering students. In our evaluation, questions 1 through 9 are about specific characteristics of the professor, teaching, or course. Then, question 10 is about the overall quality of the course. I have correlated the responses to question 10 to the responses to other questions, and the question it correlates best to is question 2. This is the question which evaluates the instructor's response to questions. This shows that engineering students don't just make up an overall assessment, but feel that the ability to answer questions is important to good teaching. I am confident that while engineering students might be humanly biased, they are trying to complete course critiques in a legitimate and professional manner.
- We are about to begin the course critiques process for this term. Make sure you are talking to your classes, anything you can do to encourage participation helps!

6.0 New Business

6.1 Events Discussion

Speaking: Megan Town on behalf of Gabrielle Klempt - basically, Gabrielle is a director for a bunch of different events, but nobody has shown up to them. Part of the reason might be an over saturation of events. There is an argument for increasing diversity of events, but also this could be contributing to our low attendance. She wanted to see what council thinks

- In the past we had one event each day and all were decently attended
- One of my directorships directly overlaps with other directorships. There is definitely duplicity, but offering more events gives people more opportunities to attend things.
- If people think it's not a diversity issue, what do we think the problem is?
- It could come down to a lack of advertising
- Looking at Facebook events, there are many that not many people were invited to
- I know for the public speaking workshop, we made posters and shared them with the first year reps, but the event was still poorly attended
- Just posting to the EngSoc Facebook group is not necessarily sufficient advertising. For example, I ran a workshop that 27 people attended, but only 4 were undergraduate engineers. Convincing non-conventional attendees is a good approach
- In fairness, the same feedback was given 2 – 3 years ago. It was the reason for cancelling OTs. It is possible that we are just running too many things
- It's not just workshops that have been suffering. I ran SCUNT and it also had no attendance
- This is a really complicated issue without an easy answer. For my whole life, getting people to come out to events has been an issue. This is not unique to having too many events and can't simply be solved by more advertising. To get people to go to events we need a bigger culture shift in which people attending events is just something which is done. The overwhelming majority of students don't go for any number of reasons. It's a really complicated problem and I don't think we will find the solution here in council
- It was mentioned that we require a culture shift towards attending events. Another way to do this would be shifting events to what people want to attend
- Building off of people not caring about events, this may be a cultural issue about EngSoc
- Speaking from experience with this, I see events pop up all the time. For me, not going comes down to why do I want to go beyond just what is happening? For example, what other people are going who I want to spend time with? Directors need to be extremely passionate about their events to convince people to come out and attend because it is a huge amount of effort beforehand.
- Something to note is that when I started the LATEX workshop 2 years ago, 120 engineering students came. The change could be a change in promotion, a culture shift, etc. To get people to come, you really have to bombard people with advertisements
- There have been lots of ideas suggested right now, what is the plan to validate and improve event attendance?
- I know Gabrielle was thinking that out of this discussion we could maybe come up with a mandate
- One option would be doing something like cutting down the amount of directorships and seeing where that takes us

- Consider that not every event will see the same turnover year to year. For example, many people would only attend a particular workshop once
- I know that my executive team struggled with this because there are so many people that want to run things and it is hard to say no to them
- This could be not cutting entire directorships, but removing responsibilities from within that. Like not requiring keyholders to run OTs or Sleepover in POETS
- If you have the same amount of directors contributing to less events you should get more passion and effort put into each event
- That said, if you have too many directors doing one thing you can run into problems
- This could also be partially about where the events fall in the calendar. For example, Rube Goldberg was on the Friday before reading week which was not ideal. Having things like that reviewed in the calendar would help
- First year reps, how many events have you attended this term? Response of largely 2-4 events. Considering that, the amount of events that their classmates attended was negligible. There is a point in this as reps should be doing a better job of attending events themselves and promoting them outside of council
- Proposal to have exec make a presentation for next meeting on how they would like to scale down the directorships
- I think for the Facebook events, I didn't attend a lot of them because I don't understand what the event is. They have fancy names that don't make any sense to me. There are some pictures on the page, but it is easy to get lost or confused. I would suggest more description about what the event is and pictures after the fact to show people what they missed. Observation of council shows disagreement on this issue
- Dates is hard because I don't want events to overlap. When I give directors their dates they can give me feedback and we can find a better date. With regards to targeting, we are hoping to post specific events to their target audiences. For example, life skills workshop to the first year groups. Also, reps, please advertise events!

6.2 CRO Election

Motion:	APPENDIX B – We’re already looking forward to kicking these guys out																
Mover:	Executive																
	As a CRO you would be responsible for running the election. Since we’ve been talking about new voting software, the elected candidate would be working with me on that. You would be responsible for running two meetings with all the candidates, one before the election to go over the rules, and one to give them the results. You would also present results to council as per the motion passed last term. Also you should encourage fair play and informed voting																
Secunder:	ECE 2017 – 4-1																
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Note that if you are the CRO you cannot run in the election - Previous CRO Lexa Michaelides, DRO Sarah Martin - Q: Can the CRO vote? - A: Only in the case of a tie - Q: What is the timing for this role? - A: You will be running the election in Fall 2017, planning will start soon in order to figure out the software to be used - Accepted Nominations:, Kieran Broekhoven, Sarah Martin, Kris Sousa, Quin Millard, Clarke Vandenhoven, Teresa DeCola <table border="1" data-bbox="446 976 1429 1138"> <tr> <td>Motion:</td> <td>Move into Camera</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mover:</td> <td>ECE 2020 – 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secunder:</td> <td>SYDE 2020</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Result:</td> <td>Motion Passes</td> </tr> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meeting moved out of camera - Congratulations Sarah <table border="1" data-bbox="446 1281 1429 1442"> <tr> <td>Motion:</td> <td>Amend to Include the Name of the Elected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mover:</td> <td>MGMT 2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secunder:</td> <td>GEO 2020</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Result:</td> <td>Motion Passes</td> </tr> </table>	Motion:	Move into Camera	Mover:	ECE 2020 – 1	Secunder:	SYDE 2020	Result:	Motion Passes	Motion:	Amend to Include the Name of the Elected	Mover:	MGMT 2017	Secunder:	GEO 2020	Result:	Motion Passes
Motion:	Move into Camera																
Mover:	ECE 2020 – 1																
Secunder:	SYDE 2020																
Result:	Motion Passes																
Motion:	Amend to Include the Name of the Elected																
Mover:	MGMT 2017																
Secunder:	GEO 2020																
Result:	Motion Passes																
Result:	Motion Passes																

6.2 Course Critiques Discussion

- This is to discuss thoughts on the new shared questions model
- Q: What’s being removed?
- A: The questions are entirely new, but covering the same ideas as the current questions
- Q: Are there general subjects completely dropped?
- A: Don’t think so
- Would like to raise the point that we don’t know when these will actually come into play. Council decides to discuss this topic later

6.3 Education Outreach

Motion:	APPENDIX C – Two is Better than One (For Education Outreach)
Mover:	CHEM 2020
Second:	SYDE 2020
Result:	Motion Withdrawn

6.5 Include Speaker in the Commissioner Applications

Motion:	Mandate the Executive to Include Speaker in the Commissioner Applications
Mover:	ECE 2017 – 4 – 1
Second:	MECH 2020
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including the speaker position lets people to grow their skills • Even if exec have someone in mind, applications should be opened so that we don't close people out from applying. That creates a mindset where you simply need to have connections in order to get a position • To clarify, Clarisse has been appointed as speaker before • If the president has a speaker in mind, why would you carry out the interview process? You are just wasting peoples' time • The fact is that whether or not she has someone in mind, it is better to interview people. At the very least it gives them more options in the future • Note that Clarisse was not that well known when she first applied. It was her interview which brought her abilities to light • Exec are happy to do this
Result:	Motion Passes, Off-Term Exec Abstains

7.0 Director Updates

- Kieran – TalEng
 - Happening on Saturday and super fun. Come out.
 - Q: If you don't sign up to perform can you be added on the fly?
 - A: Yes but we can't guarantee that we'll have equipment
- Akshay – P**5
 - Collected some forms but not as many as there are directorships
 - Grab a form before events, have people sign it
 - I promised to put scores up on the website. I'm working closely with the website director to make that happen. That should be available before next council
- Bryn – Charities
 - I want money for habitat for humanity
 - All of the events for the term have been scheduled. Let me know if you have ideas though
 - Buy raffle tickets. There are 10 prizes available. Tickets are \$3 per ticket, or 2 for \$5 and the lowest value prize is \$17. I am selling tickets always and have the 10 prize envelopes on me. Buy tickets any time before 12:30 March 21st. I will pick 1 winner from each envelope. Then put non-winning tickets into envelopes without entrants and draw winners from those. See Facebook event for details
- Gabrielle – Bowling, Life Skills, Construction
 - Bowling: We are meeting at the lanes, sign up on facebook
 - Life skills: we were talking about this earlier. Come on out, there will be baked goods and prizes. First years tell your classes. This is happening on Thursday March 2nd in RCH 308
 - Construction: NEM event involving constructing things out of cans. We still need more cans, especially blue ones. Donate cans in the Orifice. Building on March 10th at Conestoga mall. Gathering at about noon then bussing there together.
- Blood Runs
 - This is happening tomorrow
 - Did you know that half of all Canadians know someone who will need blood?
 - There are 10 spots for tomorrow, and we will be getting taxis to take us there.
 - Register by tonight on the EngSoc Facebook page.
- Ian – Euchre
 - Do you like playing euchre? Eating candy? Do you like playing cards with your friends? Come to Euchre!
 - This event is next Wednesday and will have lots of delicious snacks and candy
 - We will be hosting a learn to play session early next week
 - Q: Can I play remote from the WEEF meeting?
 - A: Talk to me.
- Mark – Semi Formal
 - I'm assuming you've all already bought tickets. If you haven't, go buy tickets.
 - Early bird tickets (first 100 to sell) are \$10. If you wait they will cost \$15
 - Tell your classes!
- Henry – Novelties

- As promised, we got the iron ring patches
- Preorder for sweatpants, now until next Wednesday. They will have elastic cuffs unlike the ones shown online. Note that they will arrive before the end of term, so fourth years can buy them too
- Kris – Rigidware
 - We sell electronic components and supplies, tell your classes!
 - If you're doing FYDP and needs supplies we have those
- Katie – Patch Design Contest
 - 129 votes were cast
 - Q: How many of them were fraudulent?
 - A: I deleted those before counting, this number is just the legitimate votes
 - Bet you can't wait, it's killing you
Announce the winner
Poll was placed. Boy, was it a close race
Sorting through the trolling votes
Picking designs to promote
Now there's a new price to pay
New swag comes to Novelties
Let's see how we did decide
Here's your new patch design
 - Congratulations "I was a WaterlooWorks Non-Consensual Beta Tester" by Ben
- Quinn – The Museum
 - This event is happening again this Sunday
 - We will be there from 10 – 4, and there can be 2 shifts of volunteers.
 - Last time we didn't have enough volunteers to run all the planned events, so please come out!

8.0 Affiliate Updates

8.1 WEEF

Not Present

8.2 Iron Warrior

Not Present

8.3 Senate

Not Present

8.4 Feds Councilors

Not Present

8.5 EngFOC

Speaking: Daniadele LeSauvachtler (engfoc@uwaterloo.ca)

- Winter leader retreat March 25

8.6 Ambassadors

Speaking: Hannah Gautreau (lead@engambassadors.uwaterloo.ca)

- We are still short on volunteers in a couple programs
- In 2B and below we need mechanical, computer, electrical, and environmental students
- In 3A and above we need chemical, mechanical, computer, electrical, software and environmental engineering
- Applications can be found online
- Ask me if you have questions

8.6 Gradcomm

Speaking: Mattrisse Dickhowe (UWgradcomm@gmail.com)

- Gradcomm 2018 (Stools): Lots going on. Inter school DUSTED March 25th. We started t-shirt design contest for next year's pubcrawl shirts. If you're not in the new group, ask me and I can add you. UofT is hosting an all ages event, but we couldn't get a bus to it. That's happening on March 24. If you're interested, talk to me
- If you're in 4th year, make your classes buy yearbooks
- If you're going to grad ball it's on Saturday March 11th
- Pubcrawl this upcoming Friday

11.0 Varia

11.1 How many days, 4rd years?

-25 days 'til IRS!

12.0 Adjournment

Time: 7:40 pm

Motion:	Adjourn Winter 2017 Meeting #3
Mover:	MGMT 2017
Second:	NANO 2021
Result:	Motion Passes, SOFT 2017 Abstains