

Waterloo Engineering Society 'B'

Fall Meeting #3

Date: November 1st, 2017

Location: RCH 301

Chair: Alex Sterling

Secretary: Sarah Martin

Attendance

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------|---|--------------|---|-------------|---|
| 1 st Year Classes | | | | | | | |
| BME 2022 | A | CHEM 2022 | P | CIVE 2022 | A | ECE 2022-1 | P |
| ECE 2022-2 | P | ECE 2022-3 | A | ENV/GEO 2022 | P | MECH 2022-4 | P |
| MECH 2022-8 | P | MGMT 2022 | P | NANO 2022 | P | SOFT 2022 | P |
| SYDE 2022 | P | TRON 2022-4 | P | TRON 2022-8 | P | | |
| 2 nd Year Classes | | | | | | | |
| BME 2021 | P | CHEM 2021 | P | CIVE 2021 | A | ECE 2021 | A |
| MECH 2021 | P | MGMT 2021 | P | NANO 2021 | P | SOFT 2021 | P |
| TRON 2021 | P | CHEM 2020 | P | ECE 2020-1 | P | ECE 2020-2 | P |
| ENV 2020 | P | GEO 2020 | P | MECH 2020 | P | SYDE 2020 | P |
| TRON 2020 | P | | | | | | |
| 3 rd Year Classes | | | | | | | |
| BME 2019 | A | CHEM 2019 | P | CIVE 2019 | A | ECE 2019 | A |
| MECH 2019 | A | MGMT 2019 | P | NANO 2019 | P | SOFT 2019 | A |
| TRON 2019 | A | | | | | | |
| 4 th Year Classes | | | | | | | |
| CHEM 2018 | P | ENV 2018 | A | GEO 2018 | A | MECH 2018 | A |
| NANO 2018 | A | SYDE 2018 | P | TRON 2018 | P | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Executive | P | Off-Term Prez | P | | | | |

Total Votes Available: 50

Total Votes Present: 36

1.0 Welcome / Call to Order

Time: 5:41 pm

Quorum established as 36/50 voting members present

2.0 Godiva's Hymn

3.0 Approval of Minutes

| | | |
|------------------|---|---|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Approval of Fall 2017 Meeting #1 Minutes</i> | |
| Mover: | TRON 2018 | |
| Secunder: | <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Approve Meeting #1 and #2 Minutes Together</i> |
| | Mover: | CHEM 2018 |
| | Secunder: | TRON 2018 |
| | Result: | Motion Passes |
| Result: | Motion Passes | |

4.0 Approval of Engenda

| | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Approval of Fall 2017 Meeting #3 Engenda</i> | | |
| Mover: | NANO 2022 | | |
| Secunder: | NANO 2021 | | |
| Comments: | <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Add Motion 6.7, renumbering 6.7 and 6.8</i> | |
| | Mover: | CHEM 2020 | |
| | Secunder: | MECH 2020 | |
| | Result: | Motion Passes | |
| | <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Move Candidate Q&A to item 6.4, Renumbering Later Items</i> | |
| | Mover: | CHEM 2021 | |
| | Secunder: | CHEM 2022 | |
| | Result: | Motion Passes | |
| | Result: | Motion Passes | |

5.0 Exec Updates (F)

5.1 President

Speaking: Rachel Malevich (president.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Tomorrow Abdullah and I going to Toronto to speak at a NextEng panel. Its focused on improving how Engineers are recruited all across Ontario and is targeted at high school students
- Leadership committee is meeting next week to select two winners
- FEDS is currently working to get fob access for all society presidents
- Long term strategic plan discussion is happening today, it may get approved
- Assessment of FEDS voting service after the VP elections
- There are some first year reps missing, if they're your buddies, why aren't they here? Reach out to them please. Looking for feedback on the buddy system, we can fix things you don't like
- Faculty events are now green because they all happened and went well. Working on transitioning them for next year

5.2 VP Student Life

Speaking: Sabrina Huston (vpstudentlife.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- All the events will have updates later
- Remembrance Day ceremony next Friday in POETS with a guest speaker.
- New weekly event, Riverdale nights Thursdays at 6pm
- Officially have declared the calendar done, it's up there. Watch Facebook or the website for events
- Mural is being painted, the paint has arrived
- Asked parking services for a parking pass. I have in writing now that you're allowed to be there for 15 mins. They can't give passes to loading docs
- Q: Can we get a service vehicle pass like we get for O-Week?
- A: I can look into it
- Coffee house is wrong in the calendar. It should be November 29

5.3 VP Communications

Speaking: Dan Robertson (vpcomm.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Not much happened last couple weeks. Getting PEOSC and CDE organized
- We're working on the branding guidelines during next exec meeting. There's more to that than I thought. Results to come at last council
- GenEq is a new club on campus. It's a forum for discussion on equality. You can check them out on Facebook
- I got an email about engineering and commerce case competition. The deadline was coming up too fast, and it's a pretty big thing with lots of delegate fees. I'll look into it for next year. It will likely be the WEC consulting competition winners we send
- Website is kind of stuck right now. We're working on writing pages.
- Met with all of the directors for their transition docs. They should be done by end of term
- On the topic of conference visibility, Congress applications are going out soon. It's a week-long conference. You'd be missing the first week of January. It's in Halifax, at Dalhousie

5.4 VP Finance

Speaking: Katie Arnold (vpfinance.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Wrapping up lots of things we're doing
- Shout out to Ben for his patch design competition
- Voting ended last weekend. Results are being announced today.
- We sold 96 pairs of coveralls this term. This is a huge increase and more than Abdullah sold last year
- Sponsorship is meeting this weekend. We will sit in POETS all day with snacks. \$14,000 to give out to the 25 teams who applied
- ECIF applications are open now and they close November 6th. It's the Engineering Capital Improvement Fund. And goes towards long term items which will be used by both societies. E.g. microphones, bike racks. You can submit on the website. There's a bit link in the agenda and an email coming soon
- POETS couches are stuck with FEDS now. Everything is quoted and ordered. FEDS just needs to pay for it
- Sponsorship is green because I spammed everyone with information
- Working on ordering EngSoc hoodies. Want to do an order in multiple colours. Same design in different colours. They should be coming in the next couple of weeks

5.5 VP Academic

Speaking: Andrew McBurney (vpacademic.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- No update on fall reading break yet. More info to come
- At Senate Undergraduate Council, two things engineering related were approved.
 - Architectural engineering program. Architecture is not actually an accredited engineering program. Architectural engineering will be similar in scope, hosted by the department of Civil, and located on campus here. It's going into the agenda for the November senate meeting. If it's approved at Senate, faculty can start advertising it for fall 2018.
 - Second thing was an engineering reduced course load. We're the only faculty where you can't drop an elective. This would allow you to drop one elective per term. If it's a term without an elective, the faculty decides on two courses you would be allowed to drop. The course can be taken over co-op or by overloading on term later
- Delayed on the CECA survey because we were asked to extend it to all faculties. I'm meeting with a CECA representative soon
- On the topic of a mental health counselor. Met with Peter Douglas and Tom Ruttan to talk about a rescindable \$5 fee which the faculty would match. There will be a referenda for it though not this term. We've asked in the past for another counselor, and been denied. Engineering Counselling is weird because all other faculties have to go to general counselling instead. Other faculties are wondering why Engineering gets special treatment
 - Space – the first year office has certain amount of rooms. They are projected to move by the winter to get more space.
 - Incentive – we are asking faculty to fork over \$90,000 yearly. In the past, it's been lacking momentum. With PAC-SMH building momentum, this is the time to say we would pitch in if you'll match us. We have sufficient time to discuss. We would need to have a referenda on both societies before this would be passed, so we could possibly have another councilor by next fall
- We have 8000 students enrolled, so 4000 students per term. The fee is rescindable because we don't want to force people to pay it. Assuming only 3000 students per term pay, that would be matched by faculty to get us \$30,000 per term. With the three terms per year, that gets us to the range we need for a Masters Mental Health Full-Time counselor. Our 3000 student estimate is lowballing it, but the extra money would go into a separate account, devoted to counselling. We would make this fee separate from the EngSoc fee because we don't want to add to our fee without explaining where it's going. The new fee would show up on your tuition statement adding as a line item as "engineering counselling"
- No committee updates
- I've sent two updates to academic reps. We're having an academic ranking survey discussion next meeting. And I put out a call for volunteers for continuous resume critiques
- At the co-op students council meeting we were discussing Co-op 2.0. There's a link in the agenda to a survey about first term job protection. Co-op 2.0 currently has four initiatives. They want to roll out another initiative protecting first term jobs in WaterlooWorks. We ran a survey with 350 ish responses, though mainly first years, so lots of selection bias. In the future we're hoping for more upper years so it's more representative.

6.0 New Business

6.1 Feedback Officer Selection

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|----------------|--|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---|---------------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| Motion: | <i>APPENDIX A - Feedback Makes Things Better</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mover: | Executive B | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Chief feedback officer is useful tool for EngSoc and the executive team. Since we're not coming back as this team, having feedback done for us is useful for the new Exec to learn what or what not to do. It's not just feedback on the executive it can also be on the direction of society, and how we do what we do. Next meeting they would go to the front, Exec will leave, and they would collect feedback from all of you. Then, the CFO would give the feedback to us. Time commitment, is one council meeting, and putting the feedback together afterwards. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second: | ECE 2020-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Feedback is great. You can make your amplifiers awesome. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | <table border="1" data-bbox="431 726 1414 871"> <tr> <td><i>Motion:</i></td> <td><i>Move into Camera and Committee of the Whole</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mover:</td> <td>ECE 2022-1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Second:</td> <td>Off-Term President</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Result:</td> <td>Motion Passes</td> </tr> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting moved out of camera and committee of the whole • Congratulations Jason Small <table border="1" data-bbox="431 1010 1414 1155"> <tr> <td><i>Motion:</i></td> <td><i>Amend to Include the Name of the Elected</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mover:</td> <td>SYDE 2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Second:</td> <td>ECE 2020-2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Result:</td> <td>Motion Passes</td> </tr> </table> | | <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Move into Camera and Committee of the Whole</i> | Mover: | ECE 2022-1 | Second: | Off-Term President | Result: | Motion Passes | <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Amend to Include the Name of the Elected</i> | Mover: | SYDE 2018 | Second: | ECE 2020-2 | Result: | Motion Passes |
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Move into Camera and Committee of the Whole</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mover: | ECE 2022-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second: | Off-Term President | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Motion Passes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Amend to Include the Name of the Elected</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mover: | SYDE 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second: | ECE 2020-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Motion Passes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Motion Passes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

6.2 Strategic Plan

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Motion: | <i>APPENDIX B – Long Term Strategic Plan</i> |
| Mover: | Executive B |
| | <p>It's really exciting, and a long time in the making. It has been posted in the Facebook group and you can see it on the screen. Did anyone read it? At least it's not zero. I don't want to read through it.</p> <p>Basically a strategic plan is offered as a guide to the Executive. It's not all encompassing and Exec can move outside it. It's just what we understand right now to be the basic needs of all students, and the important goals all students have been striving towards. We would like to pass it.</p> <p>Strategic plans normally have a deadline or no longer valid date. We're proposing that this strategic plan is considered valid until 2021 JAGM, 3 years from now. It starts off by reviewing the mission and vision of the societies.</p> <p>Organization – mainly deals with ASoc and BSoc relations, how we work together and document our information. It's about improving our communication and ensuring we have a future. It also covers documenting conferences and competitions we host because we don't really do that right now.</p> <p>Academics and representation – this is mainly the Academic portfolio. Waterloo works focused on student engagement and feedback. Professional development we've had rocky times in the past but want to maintain that relationship. Mental health is big for us right now. We want to keep working to grow the relationship with MATES and maintain the space we have. We want to continue following through with stances. We currently have two which are mental health related. We want to better understand the needs of students. So far it's all qualitative. For the future of the society we need to understand and synthesize that information better.</p> <p>Industry – we have partnered effectively with industry in the past e.g. google interview workshop. We want to work on growing industry relationships and offering different options for events companies can run.</p> <p>Student life – this section talks about society members. We want to continue following recommendations of 2017 communications survey. We want to make sure events and services appeal to members. We don't do a lot of statistical analysis in that area. Traditions used to be not that pleasant for all demographics. We want to make sure that students aren't uncomfortable. In terms of services, there are lots of big changes happening to our spaces. Things like RigidWare moving and the new CnD. Those will be brand new for us. We want to push for making those successful. Services in finance such as sponsorship, ECIF, and documenting all of what we do. Exec terms are only 16 months. In three years we want people to understand what we're doing now</p> |
| Second: | Off-Term President |
| Comments: | <p>Q: FEDS is suspiciously absent from the plan?</p> <p>A: As of right now, this is a difficult topic. A lot of people feel that the relationship with FEDS isn't good. When you speak to the Exec it's a different story. There is still room to improve, but it was hard to find a place for it within this document.</p> <p>Q: I agree that Exec have a great relationship, but engineering students often find the interaction to be an unpleasant experience. If EngSoc wanted to have an opinion, it might convince FEDS to work on the relationship.</p> <p>A: We considered having it in a section for relationships with external bodies. We're open to tabling the discussion to next meeting as we're looking for feedback.</p> <p>Q: Some other strategic plans like ESSCO and CFES have a SWOT analysis in them. Is there a reason this wasn't in ours?</p> |

A: When I was going through their strategic plans, a lot of it seemed a little bit fluffy. Yes it can be great, but at the same time we're accomplishing the same kind of thing just without the format.

Q: Is this supposed to be mandateable binding document?

A: It's more like a vision. It's a guide for executive to keep them on track. In a year and a half, council can say we decided these are our goals, why aren't we following through on them.

Q: On that, how do we make sure Exec are being accountable to it?

A: That's up to council. Its council's responsibility to know it exists and to keep an eye on their executive. We do updates first to make sure we're on track. It can help with monitoring how Exec follow through with their goals.

Q: Can it be modified at any point or is it set in stone until 2021

A: Set in stone until then.

Q: If it's tabled, could we add in that if something changes in say 2020, we are able to change the contents of the plan?

A: It's not binding. We can later mandate Exec to not follow specific aspects. More like this is good logic and suggestions for things to work on.

Straw poll on including the FEDS relationship? Generally positive.

Straw poll on SWOT analysis. Mostly just Kieran.

Q: What is SWOT?

A: SWOT stands for strength, weakness, opportunities, threats

Q: If we do write something for FEDS, can we separate FEDS EXEC and full-timers? The relationship with each is different.

A: That seems unnecessary. We deal with them as a whole.

| | |
|------------------|--|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Table Long Term Strategic Plan Indefinitely</i> |
| Mover: | Executive B |
| Secunder: | Off-Term President |
| Result: | Motion Passes |

Result: Motion Tabled Indefinitely

6.3 P&P Nominations

Speaking: Rachel Malevich (president.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

- Paul and Paula Plumber Awards go to fourth year students who have dedicated significant time to EngSoc or the Engineering community. If you nominate someone, you make a presentation at the end of the term highlighting that person's achievements throughout their undergrad at Waterloo. If you nominate someone, you are the presenter. Date for presentations is still TBA though it won't be after last council. This is particularly for BSoc fourth years. ASoc will do their own presentations in the winter term which Katie will be running. Awards are presented at GradBall in the winter.
- Nominated members don't have to be fourth years but usually are
- Kieran Broekhoven nominates Alex Sterling
- Alex Sterling nominates Kieran Broekhoven
- Dan Laroche nominates Don Tu
- Don Tu nominates Dan Laroche
- Cassey Chan nominates Adele Chui
- Pat Duong nominates Yamen Mouhanna
- Second nomination period at fourth council

6.4 Exec Candidate Q&A

Speaking: Clarke Vandenhoven (dro.b@engsoc.uwaterloo.ca)

Candidates: VP Academic – Benjamin Beelen, Megan Town; VP Communications – Grant Mitchell; VP Finance – Henry Bullingham, Bryn Cummings, Liam Yeates and Michael Beauchemin; VP Student Life – Ashley Clark; WEEF Director – Theo Morissette

Q: In 30 Seconds, introduce yourselves and your platform.

Ashley: Hi, I'm in 2B Mech, running for VP Student Life. One of my main platform points is running a joint semiformal with another faculty. I'm hoping for Math or Science but am open to hearing your ideas.

Grant: I'm in 2B Geo, and am running for VP Communications. Currently I'm the Advertising Commissioner working under the VP Communications. I'm focusing on ways to make advertising easier in the future and coming up with creative ways to advertise

Ben: I'm in 2B Enviro. You may recognize me from the Presidential Race, but now I'm running for VP Academic. My main priority is to protect Orientation Week. In the second term of this role, the 3 day OWeek trial period will be over and we'll be revisiting the fall reading break situation.

Megan: I'm in 2B Chem also running for VP Academic. I want to work on expanding the document of stances. Stances are great because they give us advocacy power to say how engineering feels. I'll also run an engineering wide survey to further back up our stances.

Liam: I'm in 2019 Management and Michael is in 2019 Chem. We're running as a pair for VP Finance. We'd be working on revamping student deals to get more value, updating how the POETS managers run events, and facilitating a run your own event workshop.

Henry: I'm in 2B Computer, running for VP Finance. My focus would be on E7. Over there we're getting a new CnD and a new home for RigidWare. In terms of experience, I've been working at both RigidWare and Novelties for about a year now.

Bryn: I'm in 2B Enviro Engineering. I'm running for VP Finance. I'd be working on rearranging student spaces. Also on getting more dietary restriction foods in the CnD. I'm most proud of my patch trade days initiatives within the university so people who don't conference can still trade patches.

Theo: I'm in 2A Management running for WEEF Director. I want to increase our oversight over own funds. Currently there's a grey area I want to ungrey. And also to improve the relationship between EngSoc and WEEF.

Q: For all candidates. In one word, which should be a number, how many of our governing docs have you read in their entirety?

Ashley: 1

Grant: 4

Ben: 4

Megan: 3

Liam and Michael: 6

Henry: 0 in their entirety

Bryn: 6

Theo: I've read the WEEF ones

Q: Could you rattle of other large commitments

Theo: I'm basing everything else off of this

Bryn: I don't have anything concrete yet. I guess I'm social media director for the concrete team thing

Henry: Nothing

Liam and Michael: Liam - nothing that doesn't end in December 2017. Michael - for Fall 2018 to Winter 2019, nothing

Megan: I have dropped future commitments in preparation for taking on this position.

Ben: Nothing yet

Grant: Undergraduate Senator and Conference Chair

Ashley: Nothing

Q: For the VP Academics, mental health is great. Is this something you're interested in and what you would do with that portfolio?

Megan: one of my platform points is continuing the great work. I am a MATES volunteer and have seen what's going on within counselling services. I think that students don't know what's available to them. I'm interested in being proactive, hitting the ground running, and meeting with the people who matter to make change in mental health.

Ben: I will be a WEEF TA next semester which puts me in a pretty good position to link MATES and WEEF in order to improve the WEEF TA mental health training to help them feel more prepared to help students.

Q: For everyone, what will be the hardest part of your platform to accomplish?

Ashley: Getting more people to attend events, even with all the advertising some event's attendance is still low

Grant: Creating new ways of communicating information. We have already done so much work and have made major improvements already

Ben: Protecting OWeek if the SSO has their heart set on cutting from it. Gathering concrete data in support of maintaining it is a large task

Megan: An engineering wide survey is easy to write but hard to get responses to. The hardest part will be pushing the survey in a manner that people want to do it

Liam and Michael: The student deals work we want to do. We don't have the resources to sue people who break contracts with us

Henry: Getting E7 set up. It's a huge project with lots going on. We'll also have to coordinate with ASoc and collaborate to get this done

Bryn: Implementing trade days in the summer when other universities aren't existing in the same way we are. I'd be doing lots of work in advance in the winter and hopefully it will pay off next summer

Theo: Increasing oversight over our finances. It will involve going to UW Finance and convincing them to let us in. That will be hard to do

Q: I assume you all have done your research and know the role you will be elected to. However, you're not just elected to a position but also to a team. How well do you know the other positions?

Ashely: I'm friends with lots of current Exec, so I know what they go through day to day. Officially though, I know less about their job description. It will be a learning process

Grant: I've held two commissionerships under two different VPs. That's given me a chance to learn what they do. I'm also friendly with people. It's much the same as Ashley said, it will be a learning experience until we figure out how everyone interacts

Ben: Like they've said there will be learning curve. I have taken on directorships under more people this term and I'm seeing what different roles do. I would also need to meet the people on the team before I could say

Megan: I'm generally familiar with the different portfolios because of directorships. I also attended the Exec info session. I think I know some things from spending time in the Orifice also

Liam: I know things from talking to everyone, holding different directorships, and holding directorships which interact with other directorships. Depending who is elected that will change how I'm interacting with them

Henry: I'd say I know pretty well. There's always a subtlety in doing a job you've never done before. The VP positions can change slightly team to team. You can focus on what they do on paper, but come crunch time, its more about knowing your team than what's on the paper

Bryn: I've read all the docs and know the concrete stuff. I'm also a commissioner under the current VP SL and a director under last VP SL. I've also worked closely with other positions. The roles are really kind of fluid for something concretely defined

Theo: I'm already on the WEEF Board of Directors and Funding Council. I'm also a currently novelties director under the VP Finance and a Remembrance Day interacting with Outreach and VP SL

Q: For VP Student Life and Finance Candidates. There is a gray area in determining whose portfolio POETS should fall under? POETS is currently divided roughly half and half between the two portfolios. How do you feel on the topics of POETS managers, OTs, POETS bookings, etc.

Bryn: Because Ashley is the only SL candidate I'm going to assume she gets the position. I think we get along well. I'd be looking at the division from a feasibility perspective. We could break it down per term. Maybe somebody has conflict with their coursework or other commitments which would make it easier for them to take on more or less of POETS. Because POETS has fluidity, it allows you to step back and exchange responsibilities as needed. It would be a discussion about what goes where and who wants what

Henry: It's not just POETS which is covered by lots of portfolios. Everything interacts. Events under SL need budgets and communication. In POETS, the VP Finance should make sure things are as they should be and new equipment is arriving as needed. The VP SL focusses on student side

Michael: One of our points is to give more responsibility to POETS managers. This will allow for less involvement from the VP SL as they already have a large portfolio. Centralizing POETS under one Exec position and letting POETS managers plan OTs is a focus

Ashley: I'm going off of what the other candidates have already covered. POETS is a very fluid topic. As much as I want to take charge of POETS, the VP SL does oversee a lot. It would be fluid and per term what we would want to see happen. OTs are more of a VP Finance issue in terms of expenditure, but a VP SL issue for getting people interested. At this time I can't say who would take over POETS

Q: For VP Academic and VP Finance candidates, under the umbrella of the portfolio you would take on, what is the greatest failing of the current society?

Ben: I don't entirely know

Megan: I think the biggest failing is ensuring that we're representing everyone. That's why I want to run a survey. When we come into this room we hope to represent everyone in our class, but sometimes we don't do so well. I'm not sure council is all encompassing. I've seen this in my time as an academic rep

Liam: There's a few little things, we have lots of historical data which is underutilized. For example coverall sales this term caused the novelties budget the get messed up. Fine tuning budget that way would make it better. Also working closer with some directors and giving other directors more room. It comes down to person to person and team to team

Henry: I think the biggest issue is getting people to care. Novelties and RigidWare are only used by a closed circle of engineering. Lots of people are just here for the Cali jobs and that's upsetting. EngSoc does lots of wonderful, and changing the perspective on that needs work

Bryn: All of my main points are done well with minor room for improvement. Right now we mostly just represent the people in this room, but there's so many engineering students. I want to open up the communication channels so other people can participate in feedback. I care a lot about making EngSoc more involved than a cult

- You will be receiving an email to vote next Friday
- All candidates forum tomorrow at lunch so come ask more questions

6.5 Document of Stances Update

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Motion: | <i>APPENDIX C – The Document of Stances Needs to be Up-To Date</i> |
| Mover: | Off-Term President |
| | At the board of directors meeting June 2017, we looked at the document of stances and determined that this specific stance is out of date. The tuition hike has been resolved. We are later bringing forwards a stance condemning tuition hikes in general. The new stance is being proposed next |
| Second: | TRON 2019 |
| Comments: | Q: Don't we already have a stance. A: We're retiring it to take on a more general stance. |
| Result: | Motion Passes |

6.6 International Tuition Stance

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| Motion: | <i>APPENDIX D – Where We Stand On International Tuition</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Mover: | Off-Term President | | | | | | | | |
| | I already explained the rationale above. We want to amend the wording of stance. To add “especially,” | | | | | | | | |
| Secunder: | Executive B | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | <p>I’ve put quite a bit of thought into this and wholeheartedly disagree. The point of a stance is to give us discussion power. Is 10% unreasonable? The person advocating has no power to say what’s unreasonable. Council at the time can say what is or isn’t reasonable, but this stance gives us no power.</p> <p>I agree. Unreasonable is vague. It gives both sides flexibility. One year’s notice is also not strong.</p> <p>They can hike tuition anyways. There is nothing binding for them. This is only telling them that we have said we are not okay with it, but if they decide to do it they should at least give the students some notice.</p> <p>I really agree with the above. Stances need to be well worded and will be held for a while. There is a governing body which decides what reasonable is for domestic students at least. Why don’t we say 5%? It was brought up on ASoc way ago, didn’t have them matched because undergrad international and domestic aren’t handled in the same way so we can’t just apply the same number.</p> <p>This stance has not yet passed on ASoc.</p> <p>5% of fixed number. If they say 6% at least we have a ball park. Speaking from the VPA side, that would be easier to defend.</p> <p>To the point about baseline 5%. One of the reasons they hike international tuition so often is because province and government subsidize tuition for Canadian students. Would people prefer the wording be taken to board to come up with a new wording for next / last council? Straw poll positive.</p> <p>Q: Why does board need to do it? Why not council as a group? Was this proposed by board?</p> <p>A: Yes, board suggested deprecating the original stance. It’s just easier because board is a group of people used to working with policy. One of the things board does is every second meeting of the term, board reviews document of stances.</p> <p>Q: Do you have board soon enough?</p> <p>A: Yes</p> | | | | | | | | |
| | <table border="1"> <tr> <td><i>Motion:</i></td> <td><i>Table Where We Stand On International Tuition Indefinitely</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mover:</td> <td>Off-Term President</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Secunder:</td> <td>BME 2022</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Result:</td> <td>Motion Passes</td> </tr> </table> | <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Table Where We Stand On International Tuition Indefinitely</i> | Mover: | Off-Term President | Secunder: | BME 2022 | Result: | Motion Passes |
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Table Where We Stand On International Tuition Indefinitely</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Mover: | Off-Term President | | | | | | | | |
| Secunder: | BME 2022 | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Motion Passes | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Motion Tabled Indefinitely | | | | | | | | |

6.7 Why Don't You Like Destressing

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Why Don't You Like Destressing</i> |
| Mover: | CHEM 2020 |
| | The university has a policy which says no dogs are allowed on campus except service animals. Service dog events have had great success in the past. The university is saying no dogs for events. People like it, and it's technically allowed, university is just being strange. We would like Andrew to work on it. |
| Seconders: | CHEM 2018 |
| | It's a struggle with the university to make them follow their own rules. This is just us putting our first down. |
| SPIRIT | The university has often blocked student attempts to run destress event that feature service animals (ex. Dogs). |
| WHEREAS | EngSoc, FEDS and other organizations on campus see a clear need and interest for destress events. |
| AND WHEREAS | Academic terms are stressful. |
| AND WHEREAS | The administration should not cling to age old policy that limits student access to mental health resources. |
| AND WHEREAS | EngSoc is technically in violation of this policy and the university could call us on it at any point. |
| AND WHEREAS | Policy 32 is a useful policy that prevents the unnecessary presence of pets on campus. |
| AND WHEREAS | Policy 32 already makes an exception for service animals but the university administration refuses to book rooms for events that feature service animals |
| AND WHEREAS | Student groups who wish to run similar events can either choice to defy the university booking system and show up unannounced in spaces or get another group on campus that books its own rooms to host the event (ex. EngSoc) |
| BIRT | The VP Academic be mandated to advocate on behalf of student body on this issue. |
| BIFRT | Council acknowledges the busy schedule and workload of the VP Academic. Council extends the ability to refuse this mandate to the VP Academic in the situation where more pressing issues arise. |
| BIFFRT | If the VP Academic is further mandated to present their efforts to council before or during the last council meeting of the Fall 2017 term |
| Comments: | <p>Q: Does Andrew know? A: Yes, he knows about the idea. Can refuse</p> <p>Proposed Amendment to the Motion: Change the Resolution clause to read: The VP Academic advocate on behalf of the student body on this issue if he has time. Strike the second resolution as it is included in the above. Change the third resolution to read: The VP Academic present their efforts to council before or during the last council meeting of the Fall 2017 term Amendments deemed to be friendly</p> <p>Point on why this is an issue. We're not alone in working for this. I brought it up with FEDS. EngSoc is just another group being asked to come on board. The events are a union between MATES, Renison, and FEDS as MATES is running dog event every Friday but it is super hard to get space.</p> |
| Result: | Motion Passes |

6.8 First Years, First Motion

| | |
|------------------|--|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>APPENDIX E – “Whoop, There it is!”</i> |
| Mover: | CHEM 2022 |
| | We made this because we were given a piece of paper. Meetings can kind of be long and drag. This is just something to celebrate the passing of motions which aren't super grave. It's fun. Nobody hates take on me. |
| Second: | ECE 2022-1 |
| Comments: | For context, we held two sessions this term to help first years understand council. What's Robert's Rules and How to Write Motions. At the second, we had a motion writing contest. This was the winner. Friendly amendment to change the minimum length of time to 10 seconds rather than 20 Q: What's a procedural motion? A: Things like moving in and out of camera, addition of peoples' names to motions Just so people know, this doesn't carry through to next term, but you can bring it forwards again. Mandates are only valid in the term they are given. Unfriendly amendment to the resolution to require Executive to do both the Macarena and sing, rather than one or the other. Friendly amendment to correct the capitalization of the resolutions. |
| Result: | Motion Passes CHEM 2018, TRON 2018, TRON 2019 Abstain |

6.9 Post-Printing Emails are Wack

| | |
|------------------|---|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>APPENDIX F – Printers, your tone confuses me.</i> |
| Mover: | ENV 2020 |
| | It's pretty clear |
| Second: | CHEM 2021 |
| Comments: | I'd like to point out there are many signs saying office has moved on the door and pointing towards new office. Q: What is XAS? A: The printy people. You get emails from them. They're separate from your WatCard. They handle printing services on engineering printers. Even the dude that works there doesn't know what his office is. There's a co-op who fixes the printers, I sent an email to them. Had a lot of trouble. Couldn't tell me where his office was. |
| Result: | Motion Passes |

7.0 Affiliate Updates

7.1 WEEF

Not Present

7.2 Iron Warrior

Not Present

7.3 Senate

Not Present

7.4 Feds Councillors

Speaking: Jason Small (jason.small@uwaterloo.ca)

- Last council, the major items were on freedom of speech
- They're considering a policy on indigenous students
- Thanks to everyone who came to AGM.
- The motion encouraging online voting passed. There's a video on the FEDS page of AGM and the mental health panel after.

7.5 EngFOC

Speaking: Daniadelle LeSauvachatler (engfoc@uwaterloo.ca)

- May or may not be my last meeting as FOC because they've started interviewing for the next team
- Once the team is chosen they will start interviewing for senior leaders. Big applications are also coming.
- OWeek Rocks!

7.6 Directors

Semiformal is this Friday. Everyone has bought ticket right? They're still on sale in the Orifice. Early bird price \$10. There's a costume contest with prizes and crazy people judging. Looking for volunteers for set up and ticket taking.

Q: On the Facebook event it said first 100 engineering students?

A: Facebook is correct. We usually sell more than 100 before the day of. Currently 42 sold so lots of tickets left. After the first 100 tickets, \$15

Tool's birthday! If you're not aware, we have been running a scavenger hunt. There's a link in the group. Giving out up to 5 purple hard hats. We've given out 4 so far, so one left. If you get 300 points you get a patch. 600 you get a shirt. It's lots of fun and you can participate up until last council meeting.

Bus Push is this Saturday. We're pulling a bus from DWE cul-de-sac to Charles Street Terminal in Kitchener. Proceeds to the Heart and Stroke Foundation. Minimum pledge \$10. You get a shirt. Snacks and a ride back to the university after are provided.

Engplay is happening. November 30th to December 2nd. It's a musical and the cast is incredible. Tickets are \$7. Please come, we don't want deficit. All 3 nights in ML theatre of the arts.

Patch design contest has closed: Thanks for voting or submitting winning patch. Congratulations winners.

7.7 GradComm

Speaking: Jeffran Levy-Harvey (uwgradcomm@gmail.com)

- PubCrawl one week Friday
- Ask upper year about DUSTED. Facebook event to come.
- Pints with profs was fun
- Fourth years, submit yearbook blurbs and quotes and stuff.

8.0 Varia

How Many Days 'Til IRS?

94 Days Til IRS

9.0 Adjournment

Time: 7:41 pm

| | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Motion:</i> | <i>Adjourn Fall 2017 Meeting #3</i> |
| Mover: | CHEM 2021 |
| Second: | ENV/GEO 2022 |
| Result: | Motion Passes |